

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Tradegiark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,656	02/03/2000	Yoshimasa Saitoh	P99.2475	6539
26263 7	7590 03/07/2002			,
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION			EXAMINER	
			HON, SOW FUN	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772 DATE MAILED: 03/07/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		1.0
<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/496,656	SAITOH ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Sow-Fun Hon	1772
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Nutute, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	20 December 2001 .	
2a)□		This action is non-final.	
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	4 • • •
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	•
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received ir	Application No
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has	been received.
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.	C. 99 120 and/or 121.
Attachmen		, □	DIV COMPANY (PTO 442) Demande (c)
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/496,656 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 8-13 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear if the limitation in claim 8 of "a liquid crystal put in" means a layer of liquid crystal or just one crystal.
- 4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what the term "dose of UV exposure" means. Is it the exposure energy?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/496,656

Art Unit: 1772

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 8, 10, 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gibbons et al. (US 5,731,405).

Gibbons et al. has optical alignment layers exposed to polarized light to induce alignment of liquid crystals (column 15, lines 55-68) whereby the two-step process comprises exposing the alignment layer to a first direction of linear polarization of the incident light, and then exposing the layer to polarized light of a second direction of linear polarization of the incident light, at an oblique incidence (column 17, lines 1-15). The energy density may be changed at the second step, and the most preferred exposure energy ranges from 0.001 to 5 J/cm² (column 16, lines 25-60). Gibbons et al. teaches two glass substrates with optical alignment films (column 20, lines 25-68) which are exposed to the polarized UV light and then filled with the liquid crystal inbetween (column 21, lines 1-10). Figure 3 shows that each substrate is aligned parallel to a reference plane and the embodiment shows the angle of incident light being at 90 degrees (right angles) to the reference plane. An ultraviolet non-electrode discharge-type lamp is taught as a light source (column 24, lines 30-40).

Application/Control Number: 09/496,656 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 9, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibbons et al. (US 5,731,405).

Gibbons et al. has been discussed above and fails to teach that the second direction of linear polarization is at 90 degrees (substrate rotation angle from the reference plane) to the first direction of linear polarization, or the claimed range of the oblique angle of the incident light.

However, because Gibbons et al. teaches that one skilled in the art will recognize that the process of the instant invention will allow control of the alignment of the liquid crystal medium in any desired direction within the plane of the optical alignment layer by controlling the conditions of the polarized light exposure (column 18, lines 45-68 and column 19, lines 1-10), it would have been mere routine optimization for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the claimed substrate rotation angle from the reference plane and the claimed range of the oblique angle of the incident light, in the second exposure step in the invention of Gibbons et al. in order to obtain the desired alignment of the liquid crystal medium.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose telephone number is (703)308-3265. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (703)308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

8H 03/04/02

HAROLD PYON

SORY PATENT EXAMINER